## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

MAGNA MIRRORS OF AMERICA, INC.,		
Plaintiff,		Case No. 10-12283
-VS-		HON. AVERN COHN
DURA GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,		
Defendant.	/	

## APPOINTMENT AND ORDER OF REFERENCE TO SPECIAL MASTER

This is a patent case. The patent-in-suit is U.S. Patent No. 6,955,009B2 (the '009 Patent), covering a Power Sliding Window Assembly. Plaintiff has designated the paradigm claim as Claim 1, and advised defendant of its infringement contentions. Doc. 28. Currently pending before the Court is the first phase of the case, claim interpretation, the Markman proceeding. The papers thus far consist of the following: Scheduling Order for Markman Phase of the Case (Doc. 34); Notice by Dura of Words and Phrases in Paradigm Claim 1 that Require Interpretation (Doc. 38); Notice by Magna of Proposed Construction of Disputed Claim Terms (Docs. 45-46); and Dura's Markman brief. Doc. 48. Because of the complexity of the subject matter it is ordered that:

1. The <u>Markman</u> proceeding shall be conducted by Christopher G. Darrow as Special Master in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 53(c) and (d) to decide upon and recommend in writing the appropriate interpretation of disputed claim terms.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Although the Special Master has discretion as to the form of his report, the Court suggests the following:

- 2. The Special Master shall confer with the parties to arrange a schedule for briefing and other matters.
- 3. Copies of papers filed with the Special Master shall also be filed simultaneously with the Clerk of the Court and served pursuant to applicable federal rules and a copy shall be simultaneously sent to the Court. Forwarding letters or informal communications need not be filed, but should be served on the opposite party.
- 4. The Special Master may conduct meetings at a location(s) to be agreed upon by the parties and the Special Master. The Special Master may conduct hearings and meetings at a location(s) of the Special Master's choice after consultation with the parties.
- 5. The Special Master may communicate with the Court <u>ex parte</u> on procedural matters.
  - 1. The claim should be displayed element by element with each element numbered. (See Exhibit A)
  - 2. The ambiguous words or phrases in an element should be identified.
  - 3. Each identified word or phrase should be interpreted separately.
  - 4. Means plus function elements require description of function and identification of structure corresponding to means identified in the specification. The specification language should be identified full text.
  - 5. In a report and recommendation, each identified word or phrase should be discussed separately. The discussion should include the parties' proposed interpretation followed by the recommended interpretation. The Special Master shall include a chart as shown in Exhibit B as part of his report and recommendation.
  - 6. For ease of understanding, when a word or phrase in an element is discussed its number should be referenced.

6. Before filing his report, the Special Master shall submit a draft of his report to counsel for the purpose of receiving their suggestions.<sup>2</sup> After receipt and

consideration of counsels' suggestions, the Special Master shall issue his report..

7. A petition for review by the Court shall be submitted in writing within ten

(10) days of receipt of the Special Master's report. Review of the recommendations of

the Special Master by the Court shall be governed by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and (C).

8. The Special Master may use law clerks or paralegals at his discretion and

shall keep detailed records of his time and expenses. The Special Master shall render

detailed monthly bills for all fees and expenses at the same rates ordinarily charged

clients for his services, and such bills shall be paid promptly as follows: fifty percent

(50%) by plaintiff and fifty percent (50%) by defendants. The monthly bills shall be

submitted directly to counsel for the parties who shall take responsibility for prompt

payment by their respective clients within thirty (30) days.

9. Objections, if any, to this order shall be filed within seven (7) days.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 29, 2011

S/Avern Cohn

**AVERN COHN** 

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was mailed to the attorneys of

record on this date, April 29, 2011, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

S/Julie Owens

Case Manager, (313) 234-5160

<sup>2</sup>"This is known as settling the master's report." Dobie, <u>The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure</u>, 25 Va. L. Rev. 261, 293 n. 128 (1939).

3